arXiv:1503.05396v1 [math.CA] 26 Jan 2015

MAXIMAL OPERATORS OF VILENKIN-NÖRLUND MEANS

L. E. PERSSON, G. TEPHNADZE AND P. WALL

Abstract. In this paper we prove and discuss some new $(H_p, weak-L_p)$ type inequalities of maximal operators of Vilenkin-Nörlund means with monotone coefficients. We also apply these results to prove a.e. convergence of such Vilenkin-Nörlund means. It is also proved that these results are the best possible in a special sense. As applications, both some well-known and new results are pointed out.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42C10, 42B25.

Key words and phrases: Vilenkin system, Vilenkin group, Nörlund means, martingale Hardy space, $weak-L_p$ spaces, maximal operator, Vilenkin-Fourier series.

1. Introduction

The definitions and notations used in this introduction can be found in our next Section. In the one-dimensional case the first result with respect to the a.e. convergence of Fejér is due to Fine [13]. Later, Schipp [25] for Walsh series and Pál, Simon [24] for bounded Vilenkin series showed that the maximal operator of Fejér means σ^* is of weak type (1,1), from which the a. e. convergence follows by standard argument [16]. Fujji [14] and Simon [27] verified that σ^* is bounded from H_1 to L_1. Weisz [38] generalized this result and proved boundedness of σ^* from the martingale space H_p to the space L_p, for $p > 1/2$. Simon [26] gave a counterexample, which shows that boundedness does not hold for $0 < p < 1/2$. A counterexample for $p = 1/2$ was given by Goginava [9] (see also [31]). In [30] it was proved even stronger result than the maximal operator σ^* is unbounded. In fact, it was proved that there exists a martingale $f \in H_{1/2}$, such that Fejér means of Vilenkin-Fourier series of the martingale f are not uniformly bounded in the space $L_{1/2}$. Moreover, Weisz [40] proved that the following is true:

Theorem W1. The maximal operator of the Fejér means σ^* is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space weak-$L_{1/2}$.

Riesz‘s logarithmic means with respect to the trigonometric system was studied by several authors. We mention, for instance, the papers by Szàsz [29] and Yabuta [36]. These means with respect to the Walsh and Vilenkin systems were investigated by Simon [26] and Gát [5]. Blahota and Gát [3] considered norm summability of Nörlund logarithmic means and showed that Riesz‘s logarithmic means R_n have better approximation properties on some unbounded Vilenkin groups, than the Fejér means. In [33] it was proved that the maximal operator of Riesz‘s means R^* is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $weak-L_{1/2}$, but is not bounded from the Hardy space H_p to the space L_p, when $0 < p \leq 1/2$. Since the set of

---

The research was supported by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation grant no.13/06 (Geometry of function spaces, interpolation and embedding theorems.

Vilenkin polynomials is dense in L_1, by well-known density argument due to Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund [16], we have that $R_n f \to f,$ a.e. for all $f \in L_1$.

Móricz and Siddiqi [19] investigated the approximation properties of some special Nörlund means of Walsh-Fourier series of L_p function in norm. The case when $q_k = 1/k$ was excluded, since the methods of Móricz and Siddiqi are not applicable to Nörlund logarithmic means. In [6] Gát and Goginava proved some convergence and divergence properties of the Nörlund logarithmic means of functions in the class of continuous functions and in the Lebesgue space L_1. Among other things, they gave a negative answer to a question of Móricz and Siddiqi [19]. Gát and Goginava [7] proved that for each measurable function $\phi(u) = \circ\left(u\sqrt{\log u}\right)$, there exists an integrable function f such that

$$\int_{G_m} \phi(|f(x)|)\, d\mu\, (x) < \infty$$

and there exists a set with positive measure, such that the Walsh-logarithmic means of the function diverges on this set. In [32] it was proved that there exists a martingale $f \in H_p$, $(0 < p \leq 1)$, such that the maximal operator of Nörlund logarithmic means L^* is not bounded in the space L_p.

In [11] Goginava investigated the behaviour of Cesáro means of Walsh-Fourier series in detail. In the two-dimensional case approximation properties of Nörlund and Cesáro means was considered by Nagy (see [20]-[23]). The a.e. convergence of Cesáro means of $f \in L_1$ was proved in [12]. The maximal operator $\sigma^{\alpha,*}$ $(0 < \alpha < 1)$ of the (C, α) means of Walsh-Paley system was investigated by Weisz [41]. In his paper Weisz proved that $\sigma^{\alpha,*}$ is bounded from the martingale space H_p to the space L_p for $p > 1/(1+\alpha)$. Goginava [10] gave a counterexample, which shows that boundedness does not hold for $0 < p \leq 1/(1+\alpha)$. Recently, Weisz and Simon [28] showed the following statement:

Theorem SW1. The maximal operator $\sigma^{\alpha,*}$ $(0 < \alpha < 1)$ of the (C, α) means is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/(1+\alpha)}$ to the space $weak - L_{1/(1+\alpha)}$.

In this paper we derive some new (H_p, L_p)-type inequalities for the maximal operators of Nörlund means, with monotone coefficients.

The paper is organized as following: In Section 3 we present and discuss the main results and in Section 4 the proofs can be found. Moreover, in order not to disturb our discussions in these Sections some preliminaries are given in Section 2.

2. Preliminaries

Denote by $\mathbb{N}_+$ the set of the positive integers, $\mathbb{N} := \mathbb{N}_+ \cup \{0\}$. Let $m := (m_{0,}\ m_1, ...)$ be a sequence of the positive integers not less than 2. Denote by

$$Z_{m_k} := \{0, 1, ..., m_k - 1\}$$

the additive group of integers modulo m_k.

Define the group G_m as the complete direct product of the groups Z_{m_i} with the product of the discrete topologies of Z_{m_j}'s.

The direct product μ of the measures

$$\mu_k(\{j\}) := 1/m_k \quad (j \in Z_{m_k})$$

is the Haar measure on G_m with $\mu(G_m) = 1$.

In this paper we discuss bounded Vilenkin groups, i.e. the case when $\sup_n m_n < \infty$.

The elements of G_m are represented by sequences

$$x := (x_0, x_1, ..., x_j, ...)\,, \ \left(x_j \in Z_{m_j}\right).$$

It is easy to give a base for the neighborhood of G_m :

$$I_0\,(x) := G_m, \quad I_n(x) := \{y \in G_m \mid y_0 = x_0, ..., y_{n-1} = x_{n-1}\},$$

where $x \in G_m$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Denote $I_n := I_n\,(0)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, and $\overline{I_n} := G_m \setminus I_n$.

If we define the so-called generalized number system based on m in the following way :

$$M_0 := 1, \ M_{k+1} := m_k M_k \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

then every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be uniquely expressed as $n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} n_j M_j$, where $n_j \in Z_{m_j}$ $(j \in \mathbb{N}_+)$ and only a finite number of n_j's differ from zero.

Next, we introduce on G_m an orthonormal system which is called the Vilenkin system. At first, we define the complex-valued function $r_k\,(x) : G_m \to \mathbb{C}$, the generalized Rademacher functions, by

$$r_k\,(x) := \exp\left(2\pi i x_k/m_k\right), \ \left(i^2 = -1, x \in G_m, \ k \in \mathbb{N}\right).$$

Now, define the Vilenkin system $\psi := (\psi_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ on G_m as:

$$\psi_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} r_k^{n_k}\,(x)\,, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})\,.$$

Specifically, we call this system the Walsh-Paley system when $m \equiv 2$.

The norm (or quasi-norm) of the space $L_p(G_m)$ $(0 < p < \infty)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_p^p := \int_{G_m} |f|^p \, d\mu.$$

The space $weak - L_p\,(G_m)$ consists of all measurable functions f, for which

$$\|f\|_{weak-L_p}^p := \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda^p \mu\,(f > \lambda) < +\infty.$$

The Vilenkin system is orthonormal and complete in $L_2\,(G_m)$ (see [35]).

Now, we introduce analogues of the usual definitions in Fourier-analysis. If $f \in L_1\,(G_m)$ we can define the Fourier coefficients, the partial sums of the Fourier series, the Dirichlet kernels with respect to the Vilenkin system in the usual manner:

$$\widehat{f}\,(n) := \int_{G_m} f \overline{\psi}_n d\mu \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N})\,,$$

$$S_n f := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \widehat{f}\,(k)\,\psi_k, \quad D_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \psi_k\,, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_+)$$

respectively.

Recall that

$$D_{M_n}(x)=\begin{cases} M_n, & \text{if } x\in I_n, \\ 0, & \text{if } x\notin I_n. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

The σ-algebra generated by the intervals $\{I_n(x): x\in G_m\}$ will be denoted by $F_n\,(n\in\mathbb{N})$. Denote by $f=\left(f^{(n)},n\in\mathbb{N}\right)$ a martingale with respect to $F_n\,(n\in\mathbb{N})$. (for details see e.g. [37]).

The maximal function of a martingale f is defined by

$$f^{*}=\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\left|f^{(n)}\right|.$$

For $0<p<\infty$ the Hardy martingale spaces $H_p(G_m)$ consist of all martingales for which

$$\|f\|_{H_p}:=\|f^{*}\|_p<\infty.$$

If $f=\left(f^{(n)},n\in\mathbb{N}\right)$ is a martingale, then the Vilenkin-Fourier coefficients must be defined in a slightly different manner:

$$\widehat{f}(i):=\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_{G_m}f^{(k)}\overline{\psi}_i d\mu.$$

Let $\{q_k: k\geq 0\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative numbers. The n-th Nörlund means for a Fourier series of f is defined by

$$t_n f=\frac{1}{Q_n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}q_{n-k}S_k f, \tag{2}$$

where $Q_n:=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}q_k$.

We always assume that $q_0>0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty}Q_n=\infty$. In this case it is well-known that the summability method generated by $\{q_k: k\geq 0\}$ is regular if and only if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{q_{n-1}}{Q_n}=0.$$

Concerning this fact and related basic results, we refer to [18].

If $q_k\equiv 1$, we get the usual Fejér means

$$\sigma_n f:=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}S_k f\,.$$

The (C,α)-means of the Vilenkin-Fourier series are defined by

$$\sigma_n^{\alpha}f=\frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1}S_k f,$$

where

$$A_0^{\alpha}=0,\quad A_n^{\alpha}=\frac{(\alpha+1)\ldots(\alpha+n)}{n!},\ \alpha\neq -1,-2,\ldots$$

The n-th Riesz‘s logarithmic mean R_n and the Nörlund logarithmic mean L_n are defined by

$$R_n f := \frac{1}{l_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{S_k f}{k}, \qquad L_n f := \frac{1}{l_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{S_k f}{n-k},$$

respectively, where $l_n := \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 1/k$.

For the martingale f we consider the following maximal operators:

$$t^* f := \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \left| t_n f \right|, \qquad \sigma^* f := \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \left| \sigma_n f \right|, \qquad \sigma^{\alpha,*} f := \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \left| \sigma_n^{\alpha} f \right|,$$

$$R^* f := \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \left| R_n f \right| \qquad \text{and} \qquad L^* f := \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \left| L_n f \right|.$$

A bounded measurable function a is a p-atom, if there exists a interval I, such that

$$\int_I a d\mu = 0, \qquad \|a\|_\infty \le \mu\left(I\right)^{-1/p}, \qquad \operatorname{supp}\left(a\right) \subset I.$$

We also need the following auxiliary results:

Lemma 1. [39] *A martingale $f = \left(f^{(n)}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right)$ is in $H_p \left(0 < p \le 1\right)$ if and only if there exists sequence $\left(a_k, k \in \mathbb{N}\right)$ of p-atoms and a sequence $\left(\mu_k, k \in \mathbb{N}\right)$, of real numbers, such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k S_{M_n} a_k = f^{(n)}, \tag{3}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left|\mu_k\right|^p < \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{H_p} \backsim \inf \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left|\mu_k\right|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where the infimum is taken over all decomposition of f of the form (3).

Lemma 2. [39] *Suppose that an operator T is σ-linear and for some $0 < p \le 1$ and*

$$\sup_{\rho>0} \rho^p \mu \left\{ x \in \overline{I} : |Ta| > \rho \right\} \le c_p < \infty,$$

for every p-atom a, where I denotes the support of the atom. If T is bounded from L_∞ to L_∞, then

$$\|Tf\|_{weak-L_p} \le c_p \|f\|_{H_p}.$$

and if $0 < p < 1$, then T is of weak type-(1,1):

$$\|Tf\|_{weak-L_1} \le c \|f\|_1.$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

Our first main result reads:

Theorem 1. *a) Let* $\{q_k : k \geq 0\}$ *be a sequence of nonnegative numbers,* $q_0 > 0$ *and*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} Q_n = \infty.$$

The summability method (2) generated by $\{q_k : k \geq 0\}$ *is regular if and only if*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{q_{n-1}}{Q_n} = 0. \tag{4}$$

Next, we state our main result concerning the maximal operator of the summation method (2), which we also show is in a sense sharp.

Theorem 2. *a) The maximal operator* t^* *of the summability method (2) with nondecreasing sequence* $\{q_k : k \geq 0\}$*, is bounded from the Hardy space* $H_{1/2}$ *to the space* $weak-L_{1/2}$.

The statement in a) is sharp in the following sense:

b) Let $0 < p < 1/2$ *and* $\{q_k : k \geq 0\}$ *is nondecreasing sequence, satisfying the condition*

$$\frac{q_0}{Q_n} \geq \frac{c}{n}, \qquad (c > 0). \tag{5}$$

Then there exists a martingale $f \in H_p$*, such that*

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \|t_n f\|_{weak-L_p} = \infty.$$

Our next result shows that the statement in b) above hold also for nonincreasing sequences and now without any restriction like (5).

Theorem 3. *Let* $0 < p < 1/2$*. Then, for all Nörlund means with nonincreasing sequence* $\{q_k : k \geq 0\}$*, there exists a martingale* $f \in H_p$*, such that*

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \|t_n f\|_{weak-L_p} = \infty.$$

Up to now we have considered the case $0 < p < 1/2$, but in our final main result we consider the case when $p = 1/(1+\alpha)$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, so that $1/2 \leq p < 1$. Also this result is sharp in two different important senses.

Theorem 4. *a) Let* $0 < \alpha \leq 1$*. Then the maximal operator* t^* *of summability method (2) with non-increasing sequence* $\{q_k : k \geq 0\}$*, satisfying the condition*

$$\frac{n^\alpha q_0}{Q_n} = O(1), \ \frac{|q_n - q_{n+1}|}{n^{\alpha-2}} = O(1), \ \text{as} \ \ n \to \infty. \tag{6}$$

is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/(1+\alpha)}$ *to the space* $weak-L_{1/(1+\alpha)}$.

The parameter $1/(1+\alpha)$ *in a) is sharp in the following sense:*

b) Let $0 < p < 1/(1+\alpha)$*, where* $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ *and* $\{q_k : k \geq 0\}$ *be a non-increasing sequence, satisfying the condition*

$$\frac{q_0}{Q_n} \geq \frac{c}{n^\alpha}, \ (c > 0). \tag{7}$$

Then

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \|t_n f\|_{weak-L_p} = \infty.$$

Also the condition (6) is "sharp" in the following sense:

c) Let $\{q_k : k \geq 0\}$ *be a non-increasing sequence, satisfying the condition*

$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} \frac{q_0 n^{\alpha}}{Q_n} = \infty, \qquad (0 < \alpha \leq 1)\,. \tag{8}$$

Then

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \|t_n f\|_{weak-L_{1/(1+\alpha)}} = \infty.$$

A number of special cases of our results are of particular interest and give both well-known and new information. We just give the following examples of such Corollaries:

Corollary 1. *(See* [32]*) The maximal operator of the Fejér means* σ^* *is bounded from the Hardy space* $H_{1/2}$ *to the space* $weak-L_{1/2}$ *but is not bounded from* H_p *to the space* $weak-L_p$*, when* $0 < p < 1/2$*.*

Corollary 2. *(See* [33]*) The maximal operator of the Riesz's means* R^* *is bounded from the Hardy space* $H_{1/2}$ *to the space* $weak-L_{1/2}$ *but is not bounded from* H_p *to the space* $weak-L_p$*, when* $0 < p < 1/2$*.*

Corollary 3. *The maximal operator of the* (C,α)*-means* $\sigma^{\alpha,*}$ *is bounded from the Hardy space* $H_{1/(1+\alpha)}$ *to the space* $weak-L_{1/(1+\alpha)}$ *but is not bounded from* H_p *to the space* $weak-L_p$*, when* $0 < p < 1/(1+\alpha)\,.$

Corollary 4. *(See* [32]*) The maximal operator of the Nörlund logarithmic means* L^* *is not bounded from the Hardy space* H_p *to the space* $weak - L_p$*, when* $0 < p < 1$*.*

Corollary 5. *Let* $f \in L_1$ *and* t_n *be the Nörlund means, with nondecreasing sequence* $\{q_k : k \geq 0\}$*. Then*

$$t_n f \to f, \quad a.e., \quad as \;\; n \to \infty.$$

Corollary 6. *Let* $f \in L_1$ *and* t_n *be Nörlund means, with non-increasing sequence* $\{q_k : k \geq 0\}$ *and satisfying condition (6). Then*

$$t_n f \to f, \quad a.e., \quad as \;\; n \to \infty.$$

Corollary 7. *Let* $f \in L_1$*. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_n f &\to f, \quad a.e., \quad as \;\; n \to \infty, \\
R_n f &\to f, \quad a.e. \quad as \;\; n \to \infty, \\
\sigma_n^{\alpha} f &\to f, \quad a.e., \quad as \;\; n \to \infty, \quad when \;\; 0 < \alpha < 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. *The statements in Corollary 7 are known (see* [12], [13], [28], [16], [34]*), but this unified approach to prove them is new.*

4. Proofs of the Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. The proof is similar as in the case with Walsh system (see [18]), so we omit the details.

Proof of Theorem 2. By using Abel transformation we obtain that

$$Q_n := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} q_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{n-j} \cdot 1 = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(q_{n-j} - q_{n-j-1}\right) j + q_0 n, \tag{9}$$

and

$$t_n f = \frac{1}{Q_n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(q_{n-j} - q_{n-j-1}\right) j \sigma_j f + q_0 n \sigma_n f \right). \tag{10}$$

Let the sequence $\{q_k : k \geq 0\}$ be non-decreasing. By combining (9) with (10) and using Abel transformation we get that

$$\begin{aligned} |t_n f| &\leq \left| \frac{1}{Q_n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} q_{n-j} S_j f \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{Q_n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left|q_{n-j} - q_{n-j-1}\right| j \left|\sigma_j f\right| + q_0 n \left|\sigma_n f\right| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{c}{Q_n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(q_{n-j} - q_{n-j-1}\right) j + q_0 n \right) \sigma^* f \leq c \sigma^* f \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$t^* f \leq c \sigma^* f. \tag{11}$$

If we apply (11) and Theorem W1 we can conclude that the maximal operators t^* are bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $weak - L_{1/2}$. It follows that (see Theorem W1) t^* has weak type-(1,1) and $t_n f \to f$, a.e.

In the proof of the second part of Theorem 2 we mainly follow the method of Blahota, Gát and Goginava (see [1], [2]).

Let $0 < p < 1/2$ and $\{\alpha_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers such that:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 1/\alpha_k^p < \infty, \tag{12}$$

$$\lambda \sum_{\eta=0}^{k-1} \frac{M_{\alpha_\eta}^{1/p}}{\alpha_\eta} < \frac{M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p}}{\alpha_k}, \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{32 \lambda M_{\alpha_{k-1}}^{1/p}}{\alpha_{k-1}} < \frac{M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p-2}}{\alpha_k}, \tag{14}$$

where $\lambda = \sup_n m_n$.

We note that such an increasing sequence $\{\alpha_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ which satisfies conditions (12)-(14) can be constructed.

Let

$$f^{(A)} = \sum_{\{k;\ \lambda_k < A\}} \lambda_k \theta_k, \tag{15}$$

where

$$\lambda_k = \frac{\lambda}{\alpha_k} \tag{16}$$

and

$$\theta_k = \frac{M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p-1}}{\lambda} \left(D_{M_{\alpha_k+1}} - D_{M_{\alpha_k}} \right). \tag{17}$$

It is easy to show that the martingale $f = \left(f^{(1)}, f^{(2)} ... f^{(A)} ... \right) \in H_{1/2}$. Indeed, since

$$S_{M_A} \theta_k = \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} a_k, & \text{if} & \alpha_k < A, \\ 0, & \text{if} & \alpha_k \geq A, \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{supp}(\theta_k) = I_{\alpha_k}, \qquad \int_{I_{\alpha_k}} \theta_k d\mu = 0, \qquad \|\theta_k\|_\infty \leq M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p} = (\text{supp } a_k)^{1/p},$$

if we apply Lemma 1 and (12) we can conclude that $f \in H_p$, $(0 < p < 1/2)$.

Moreover, it is easy to show that

$$\widehat{f}(j) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p-1}}{\alpha_k}, & \text{if } \ j \in \{M_{\alpha_k}, ..., M_{\alpha_k+1} - 1\}, \ k = 0, 1, 2..., \\ 0, & \text{if } \ \ j \notin \bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} \{M_{\alpha_k}, ..., M_{\alpha_k+1} - 1\}. \end{array} \right. \tag{18}$$

We can write

$$t_{M_{\alpha_k}+1} f = \frac{1}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{M_{\alpha_k}} q_j S_j f + \frac{q_0}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}} S_{M_{\alpha_k}+1} f := I + II.$$

Let $M_{\alpha_s} \leq j \leq M_{\alpha_s+1}$, where $s = 0, ..., k-1$. Moreover,

$$\left| D_j - D_{M_{\alpha_s}} \right| \leq j - M_{_{\alpha_s}} \leq \lambda M_{_{\alpha_s}}, \quad (s \in \mathbb{N})$$

so that, according to (1) and (18), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(19)\qquad |S_jf| &= \left|\sum_{v=0}^{M_{\alpha_{s-1}+1}-1}\widehat{f}(v)\psi_v+\sum_{v=M_{\alpha_s}}^{j-1}\widehat{f}(v)\psi_v\right| \\
&\leq \left|\sum_{\eta=0}^{s-1}\sum_{v=M_{\alpha_\eta}}^{M_{\alpha_\eta+1}-1}\frac{M_{\alpha_\eta}^{1/p-1}}{\alpha_\eta}\psi_v\right|+\frac{M_{\alpha_s}^{1/p-1}}{\alpha_s}\left|\left(D_j-D_{M_{\alpha_s}}\right)\right| \\
&= \left|\sum_{\eta=0}^{s-1}\frac{M_{\alpha_\eta}^{1/p-1}}{\alpha_\eta}\left(D_{M_{\alpha_{\eta+1}}}-D_{M_{\alpha_\eta}}\right)\right|+\frac{M_{\alpha_s}^{1/p-1}}{\alpha_s}\left|\left(D_j-D_{M_{\alpha_s}}\right)\right| \\
&\leq \lambda\sum_{\eta=0}^{s-1}\frac{M_{\alpha_\eta}^{1/p}}{\alpha_\eta}+\frac{\lambda M_{\alpha_s}^{1/p}}{\alpha_s}\leq\frac{2\lambda M_{\alpha_{s-1}}^{1/p}}{\alpha_{s-1}}+\frac{\lambda M_{\alpha_s}^{1/p}}{\alpha_s}\leq\frac{4\lambda M_{\alpha_{k-1}}^{1/p}}{\alpha_{k-1}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $M_{\alpha_{s-1}+1}+1\leq j\leq M_{\alpha_s}$, where $s=1,...,k$. Analogously to (19) we can prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|S_jf| &= \left|\sum_{v=0}^{M_{\alpha_{s-1}+1}-1}\widehat{f}(v)\psi_v\right|=\left|\sum_{\eta=0}^{s-1}\sum_{v=M_{\alpha_\eta}}^{M_{\alpha_\eta+1}-1}\frac{M_{\alpha_\eta}^{1/p-1}}{\alpha_\eta}\psi_v\right| \\
&= \left|\sum_{\eta=0}^{s-1}\frac{M_{\alpha_\eta}^{1/p-1}}{\alpha_\eta}\left(D_{M_{\alpha_{\eta+1}}}-D_{M_{\alpha_\eta}}\right)\right|\leq\frac{2\lambda M_{\alpha_{s-1}}^{1/p}}{\alpha_{s-1}}\leq\frac{4\lambda M_{\alpha_{k-1}}^{1/p}}{\alpha_{k-1}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
(20)\qquad |I|\leq\frac{1}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}}\sum_{j=0}^{M_{\alpha_k}}q_j\left|S_jf\right|\leq\frac{4\lambda M_{\alpha_{k-1}}^{1/p}}{\alpha_{k-1}}\frac{1}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}}\sum_{j=0}^{M_{\alpha_k}}q_j\leq\frac{4\lambda M_{\alpha_{k-1}}^{1/p}}{\alpha_{k-1}}.
$$

If we now apply (18) and (19) we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(21)\qquad |II| &= \frac{q_0}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}}\left|\frac{M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p-1}}{\alpha_k}\psi_{M_{\alpha_k}}+S_{M_{\alpha_k}}f\right| \\
&= \frac{q_0}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}}\left|\frac{M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p-1}}{\alpha_k}\psi_{M_{\alpha_k}}+S_{M_{\alpha_{k-1}+1}}f\right| \\
&\geq \frac{q_0}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}}\left(\left|\frac{M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p-1}}{\alpha_k}\psi_{M_{\alpha_k}}\right|-\left|S_{M_{\alpha_{k-1}+1}}f\right|\right) \\
&\geq \frac{q_0}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}}\left(\frac{M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p-1}}{\alpha_k}-\frac{4\lambda M_{\alpha_{k-1}}^{1/p}}{\alpha_{k-1}}\right)\geq\frac{q_0}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}}\frac{M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p-1}}{4\alpha_k}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Without lost the generality we may assume that $c=1$ in (5). By combining (20) and (21) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left|t_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}f\right| &\geq |II|-|I|\geq \frac{q_0}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}}\frac{M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p-1}}{4\alpha_k}-\frac{4\lambda M_{\alpha_{k-1}}^{1/p}}{\alpha_{k-1}}\\ &\geq \frac{M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p-2}}{4\alpha_k}-\frac{4\lambda M_{\alpha_{k-1}}^{1/p}}{\alpha_{k-1}}\geq \frac{M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p-2}}{8\alpha_k}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\mu\left\{x\in G_m:\left|t_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}f(x)\right|\geq \frac{M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p-2}}{8\alpha_k}\right\}=\mu\left(G_m\right)=1. \tag{22}$$

Let $0<p<1/2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p-2}}{8\alpha_k}\cdot\mu\left\{x\in G_m:\left|t_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}f(x)\right|\geq \frac{M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p-2}}{8\alpha_k}\right\}\\ &=\frac{M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p-2}}{8\alpha_k}\to\infty,\quad \text{as } k\to\infty.\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 3. To prove Theorem 3 we use the martingale (15), where λ_k are defined by (16), for which α_k satisfies conditions (12)-(14) and θ_k are given by (17). It is easy to show that for every non-increasing sequence $\{q_k:k\geq 0\}$ it automatically holds that

$$q_0/Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}\geq 1/\left(M_{\alpha_k}+1\right).$$

By combining (20) and (21) we see that

$$\left|t_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}f\right|\geq |II|-|I|\geq \frac{M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p-2}}{8\alpha_k}.$$

Analogously to (22) and (23) we then get that

$$\sup_k\left\|t_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}f\right\|_{weak-L_p}=\infty.$$

The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $\{q_k:k\geq 0\}$ be a sequence of non-increasing numbers, which satisfies conditions of theorem 4. In this case (see [4], [34]) it was proved that

$$|F_n|\leq \frac{c(\alpha)}{n^{\alpha}}\sum_{j=0}^{|n|}M_j^{\alpha}\left|K_{M_j}\right|,$$

where

$$F_n=\frac{1}{Q_n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}q_{n-k}D_kf$$

is Kernel of Nörlund means.

Weisz and Simon [28] (see also Gát, Goginava [8]) proved that the maximal operator $\sigma^{\alpha,*}$ of (C,α) $(0<\alpha<1)$ means is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/(1+\alpha)}$ to the space $L_{1/(1+\alpha),\infty}$. Their proof was depend on the following inequality

$$\left|K_n^\alpha\right| \leq \frac{c\left(\alpha\right)}{n^\alpha}\sum_{j=0}^{|n|} M_j^\alpha \left|K_{M_j}\right|,$$

where K_n^α is Kernel of (C,α) means. Since our estimation of F_n is the same, it is easy to see that the proof of first part of Theorem 4 will be quiet analogously to the Theorem SW1.

To prove the second part of Theorem 4 we use the martingale (15), where λ_k is defined by (16), for which α_k satisfies conditions (12), (13) and

$$\frac{32\lambda M_{\alpha_{k-1}}^{1/p}}{\alpha_{k-1}} < \frac{M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p-1-\alpha}}{\alpha_k}, \tag{24}$$

where θ_k is given by (17).

We note that such an increasing sequence $\{\alpha_k : k\in\mathbb{N}\}$, which satisfies conditions (12), (13) and (24), can be constructed.

Let $0<p<1/\left(1+\alpha\right)$. By combining (12) and (13) we get that

$$\left|t_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}f\right| \geq |II|-|I| = \frac{M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p-1}}{4\alpha_k}\frac{q_0}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}} - \frac{4\lambda M_{\alpha_{k-1}}^{1/p}}{\alpha_{k-1}}.$$

Without lost the generality we may assume that $c=1$ in (7). Since $1/p-1-\alpha>0$ by using (24) we find that

$$\left|t_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}f\right| \geq \frac{M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p-1-\alpha}}{8\alpha_k}$$

and

$$\frac{M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p-1-\alpha}}{8\alpha_k}\cdot\mu\left\{x\in G_m : \left|t_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}f\right| \geq \frac{M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p-1-\alpha}}{8\alpha_k}\right\}$$
$$= \frac{M_{\alpha_k}^{1/p-1-\alpha}}{8\alpha_k} \to \infty, \quad \text{as } k\to\infty.$$

Finally, we prove the third part of Theorem 4. Under condition (8) there exists an increasing sequence $\{\alpha_k : k\in\mathbb{N}\}$, which satisfies the conditions

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}}{q_0 M_{\alpha_k}^\alpha}\right)^{1/2(1+\alpha)}, \tag{25}$$

$$\lambda\sum_{\eta=0}^{k-1}\frac{Q_{M_{\alpha_\eta}+1}M_{\alpha_\eta}^{\alpha/2+1}}{q_0^{1/2}} \leq \frac{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}M_{\alpha_k}^{\alpha/2+1}}{q_0^{1/2}}, \tag{26}$$

$$\frac{32\lambda Q_{M_{\alpha_{k-1}}+1}M_{\alpha_{k-1}}^{\alpha/2+1}}{q_0^{1/2}} < \left(\frac{q_0 M_{\alpha_k}^\alpha}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}}\right)^{1/2}, \tag{27}$$

where $\lambda = \sup_n m_n$.

We note that such an increasing sequence $\{\alpha_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, which satisfies conditions (25)-(27), can be constructed.

Let

$$f^{(A)} = \sum_{\{k;\ \lambda_k < A\}} \lambda_k \theta_k,$$

where

$$\lambda_k = \lambda \cdot \left(\frac{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}}{q_0 M^{\alpha}_{\alpha_k}} \right)^{1/2}$$

and θ_k are given by (17) for $p = 1/(1+\alpha)$. If we apply Lemma 1 and (25) analogously to the proof of the second part of Theorem 2 we can conclude that $f = \left(f^{(1)}, f^{(2)} ... f^{(A)} ... \right) \in H_{1/(1+\alpha)}$.

It is easy to show that

$$\widehat{f}(j) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1} M^{\alpha}_{\alpha_k}}{q_0} \right)^{1/2}, & \text{if } j \in \{M_{\alpha_k}, ..., M_{\alpha_k+1} - 1\},\ k = 0, 1, 2..., \\ 0, & \text{if } j \notin \bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} \{M_{\alpha_k}, ..., M_{\alpha_k+1} - 1\}, \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

and

$$t_{M_{\alpha_k}+1} f = \frac{1}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{M_{\alpha_k}} q_j S_j f + \frac{q_0}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}} S_{M_{\alpha_k}+1} f := III + IV.$$

Let $M_{\alpha_s} \leq j \leq M_{\alpha_s+1}$, where $s = 0, ..., k-1$. Analogously to (19) from (28) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |S_j f| &\leq \left| \sum_{\eta=0}^{s-1} \left(\frac{Q_{M_{\alpha_\eta}+1} M^{\alpha}_{\alpha_\eta}}{q_0} \right)^{1/2} \left(D_{M_{\alpha_{\eta+1}}} - D_{M_{\alpha_\eta}} \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{Q_{M_{\alpha_s}+1} M^{\alpha}_{\alpha_s}}{q_0} \right)^{1/2} \left| \left(D_j - D_{M_{\alpha_s}} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{4\lambda Q^{1/2}_{M_{\alpha_{k-1}}+1} M^{\alpha/2+1}_{\alpha_{k-1}}}{q_0^{1/2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Let $M_{\alpha_{s-1}+1} + 1 \leq j \leq M_{\alpha_s}$, where $s = 1, ..., k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |S_j f| &= \left| \sum_{\eta=0}^{s-1} \left(\frac{Q_{M_{\alpha_\eta}+1} M^{\alpha}_{\alpha_\eta}}{q_0} \right)^{1/2} \left(D_{M_{\alpha_{\eta+1}}} - D_{M_{\alpha_\eta}} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \lambda \sum_{\eta=0}^{s-1} \frac{Q_{M_{\alpha_\eta}+1} M^{\alpha/2+1}_{\alpha_\eta}}{q_0^{1/2}} \leq \frac{2\lambda Q_{M_{\alpha_{s-1}}+1} M^{\alpha/2+1}_{\alpha_{s-1}}}{q_0^{1/2}} \leq \frac{4\lambda Q_{M_{\alpha_{k-1}}+1} M^{\alpha/2+1}_{\alpha_{k-1}}}{q_0^{1/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|III| \leq \frac{1}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{M_{\alpha_k}} q_j \left|S_j f\right| \leq \frac{4\lambda Q_{M_{\alpha_{k-1}}+1} M_{\alpha_{k-1}}^{\alpha/2+1}}{q_0^{1/2}} \frac{1}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{M_{\alpha_k}} q_j \leq \frac{4\lambda Q_{M_{\alpha_{k-1}}+1} M_{\alpha_{k-1}}^{\alpha/2+1}}{q_0^{1/2}}. \tag{30}$$

If we apply (28) and (29) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} |IV| &\geq \left(\frac{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1} M_{\alpha_k}^{\alpha}}{q_0}\right)^{1/2} \frac{q_0 \left|D_{M_{\alpha_k}+1} - D_{M_{\alpha_k}}\right|}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}} - \frac{q_0}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}} \left|S_{M_{\alpha_{k-1}}+1} f\right| \\ &\geq \left(\frac{q_0 M_{\alpha_k}^{\alpha}}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}}\right)^{1/2} - \frac{4\lambda Q_{M_{\alpha_{k-1}}+1} M_{\alpha_{k-1}}^{\alpha/2+1}}{q_0^{1/2}} \geq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{q_0 M_{\alpha_k}^{\alpha}}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}}\right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

By combining (27), (30) and (31) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \left|t_{M_{\alpha_k}+1} f\right| &\geq |IV| - |III| \geq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{q_0 M_{\alpha_k}^{\alpha}}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}}\right)^{1/2} - \frac{4\lambda Q_{M_{\alpha_{k-1}}+1} M_{\alpha_{k-1}}^{\alpha/2+1}}{q_0^{1/2}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{q_0 M_{\alpha_k}^{\alpha}}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}}\right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it yields that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{q_0 M_{\alpha_k}^{\alpha}}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}}\right)^{1/2(1+\alpha)} \mu \left\{ x \in G_m : \left|t_{M_{\alpha_k}+1} f(x)\right| \geq \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{q_0 M_{\alpha_k}^{\alpha}}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}}\right)^{1/2} \right\} \\ = &\frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{q_0 M_{\alpha_k}^{\alpha}}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}}\right)^{1/2} \mu(G_m) = \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{q_0 M_{\alpha_k}^{\alpha}}{Q_{M_{\alpha_k}+1}}\right)^{1/2} \to \infty, \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

A final remark: Several of the operators considered in this paper, e.g. those described by the Nörlund means, Riesz‘s logarithmic means and Nörlund logarithmic means are called Hardy type operators in the literature. The mapping properties of such operators, especially between weighted Lebegue spaces, is much studied in the literature, see e.g. the books [15] and [17] and the references there. Such complimentary information can be of interest for further studies of the problems considered in this paper.

Acknowledgment: We thank the careful referees for some good suggestions, which improved the final version of this paper.

References

[1] *I. Blahota, G. Gát* and *U. Goginava,* Maximal operators of Fejér means of double Vilenkin-Fourier series, Colloq. Math., 107 (2007), no. 2, 287-296.

[2] *I. Blahota, G. Gát* and *U. Goginava,* Maximal operators of Fejér means of Vilenkin-Fourier series, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 7 (2006), no. 4, Article 149.

[3] *I. Blahota* and *G. Gát,* Norm summability of Nörlund logarithmic means on unbounded Vilenkin groups, Anal. Theory Appl., 24 (2008), no. 1, 1-17.

[4] *I. Blahota, G. Tephnadze,* A note on maximal operators of Vilenkin-Nörlund means, (submitted).

[5] *G. Gát*, Investigations of certain operators with respect to the Vilenkin system, Acta Math. Hung., 61 (1993), 131-149.

[6] *G. Gát* and *U. Goginava,* Uniform and L-convergence of logarithmic means of Walsh-Fourier series, Acta Math. Sin., (Engl. Ser.) 22 (2006), no. 2, 497-506.

[7] *G. Gát* and *U. Goginava,* On the divergence of Nörlund logarithmic means of Walsh-Fourier series, (English summary) Acta Math. Sin., (Engl. Ser.) 25 (2009), no. 6, 903-916.

[8] G. Gát, U. Goginava, A weak type inequality for the maximal operator of (C,α)-means of Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system, Acta Math. Hungar., 125 (2009), no. 1-2, 65–83.

[9] *U. Goginava,* The maximal operator of Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of the d-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series, (English summary) East J. Approx., 12 (2006), no. 3, 295-302.

[10] *U. Goginava,* The maximal operator of the (C,α) means of the Walsh-Fourier series, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sect. Comput., 26 (2006), 127-135.

[11] *U. Goginava,* On the approximation properties of Cesáro means of negative order of Walsh-Fourier series, (English summary) J. Approx. Theory, 115 (2002), no. 1, 9-20.

[12] *U. Goginava,* Almost everywhere convergence of (C,α)-means of cubical partial sums of d-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series, J. Approx. Theory, 141, no. 1, (2006), 8–28.

[13] *J. Fine,* Cesáro summability of Walsh-Fourier series. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 41(1955), 558-591.

[14] *N. Fujii,* A maximal inequality for H^1-functions on a generalized Walsh-Paley group, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1979), no. 1, 111-116.

[15] *A. Kufner* and *L.-E. Persson,* Weighted Inequalities of Hardy Type, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., Singapore, 2003.

[16] *I. Marcinkiewicz* and *A. Zygmund*, On the summability of double Fourier series, Fund. Math. 32(1939), 112-132.

[17] *A. Meskhi, V. Kokilashvili* and *L.-E. Persson,* Weighted Norm Inequaities with general kernels, J. Math. Inequal. 12 (3) (2009), 473-485.

[18] *C. N. Moore,* Summable series and convergence factors, Summable series and convergence factors. Dover Publications, Inc., New York 1966.

[19] *F. Móricz* and *A. Siddiqi*, Approximation by Nörlund means of Walsh-Fourier series, (English summary) J. Approx. Theory, 70 (1992), no. 3, 375-389.

[20] *K. Nagy,* Approximation by Cesáro means of negative order of Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fourier series, East J. Approx., 16 (2010), no. 3, 297-311.

[21] *K. Nagy,*Approximation by Nörlund means of Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fourier series. Georgian Math. J. 18 (2011), no. 1, 147-162.

[22] *K. Nagy,* Approximation by Nörlund means of quadratical partial sums of double Walsh-Fourier series, Anal. Math., 36 (2010), no. 4, 299-319.

[23] *K. Nagy,* Approximation by Nörlund means of double Walsh-Fourier series for Lipschitz functions, Math. Inequal. Appl., 15 (2012), no. 2, 301-322.

[24] *J. Pál* and *P. Simon,* On a generalization of the concept of derivative, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar, 29 (1977), no. 1-2, 155-164.

[25] *F. Schipp,* F. Certain rearrangements of series in the Walsh system, (Russian) Mat. Zametki, 18 (1975), no. 2, 193-201.

[26] *P. Simon,* Cesáro summability with respect to two-parameter Walsh systems, Monatsh. Math., 131 (2000), no. 4, 321-334.

[27] *P. Simon,* Investigations with respect to the Vilenkin system, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eőtvős Sect. Math. 27 (1984), 87-101 (1985).

[28] *P. Simon* and *F. Weisz,* Weak inequalities for Cesáro and Riesz summability of Walsh-Fourier series, J. Approx. Theory, 151 (2008), no. 1, 1-19.

[29] *O. Szász,* On the logarithmic means of rearranged partial sums of a Fourier series, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 48, (1942). 705-711.

[30] *G. Tephnadze,* Fejér means of Vilenkin-Fourier series, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar., 49 (2012), no. 1, 79-90.

[31] *G. Tephnadze,* On the maximal operators of Vilenkin-Fejér means, Turkish J. Math., 37 (2013), no. 2, 308-318.

[32] *G. Tephnadze,* The maximal operators of logarithmic means of one-dimensional Vilenkin-Fourier series, Acta Math. Acad. Paedagog. Nyházi. (N.S.) 27 (2011), no. 2, 245-256.

[33] *G. Tephnadze,* On the maximal operators of Riesz logarithmic means of Vilenkin-Fourier series, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar., 51 (1), 105-120 (2014).

[34] *G. Tephnadze,* On the maximal operators of Walsh-Kaczmarz-Nörlund means, Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyiregyhaziensis, vol. 30 (1), 2014.

[35] *N. Ya. Vilenkin,* On a class of complete orthonormal systems, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., (2) 28 1963 1-35.

[36] *K. Yabuta,* Quasi-Tauberian theorems, applied to the summability of Fourier series by Riesz's logarithmic means, Tōhoku Math. J., (2) 22 1970 117-129.

[37] *F. Weisz,* Martingale Hardy spaces and their applications in Fourier analysis, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1568, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

[38] *F. Weisz,* Cesáro summability of one- and two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series, Anal. Math., 22 (1996), no. 3, 229-242.

[39] *F. Weisz,* Hardy spaces and Cesáro means of two-dimensional Fourier series, Approximation theory and function series, (Budapest, 1995), 353-367.

[40] *F. Weisz,* Q-summability of Fourier series, Acta Math. Hungar., 103 (2004), no. 1-2, 139-175.

[41] *F. Weisz,* (C, α) summability of Walsh-Fourier series, summability of Walsh-Fourier series, Anal. Math., 27 (2001), no. 2, 141-155.

L.-E. Persson, Department of Engineering Sciences and Mathematics, Luleå University of Technology, SE-971 87 Luleå, Sweden and Narvik University College, P.O. Box 385, N-8505, Narvik, Norway.

E-mail address: `larserik@ltu.se`

G. Tephnadze, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences, Tbilisi State University, Chavchavadze str. 1, Tbilisi 0128, Georgia

E-mail address: `giorgitephnadze@gmail.com`

P. Wall, Department of Engineering Sciences and Mathematics, Luleå University of Technology, SE-971 87, Luleå, Sweden.

E-mail address: `Peter.Wall@ltu.se`